

**Penzance Harbour Planning Applications 09-0213-P, 09-0214-LBC, 09-0216-CAC & 09-0399-P**

**Objections**

Total number of objections received = **678**

Total number of objections that were, after acknowledgements sent out, rejected by the ‘originator’ = **6**

Total number of valid objections = **672**

Total number of support letters received = **8**

**KEY**

- H** Heritage
- D** Design
- E** Environment
- T** Transport and traffic
- Ec** Economy
- A** Amenity
- AS** Alternative scheme supported (out of town)
- O** Other

<b>Code</b>	<b>Objection</b>	<b>Number of objections received</b>
<b>A1</b>	Loss of amenity for swimmers	33
<b>A2</b>	Access to War memorial needs to be maintained for disabled and elderly people and ensure space around it for people to gather/proximity to memorial is dis-respectful to those who died	9

<b>A3</b>	Harbour wall extension will affect best local logging waves	3
<b>AS1</b>	Alternative schemes should be sought (out of town depot)	379
<b>AS2</b>	Alternative scheme should be sought (not specified)	101
<b>AS3</b>	Centre proposal at Newlyn harbour and use a Roll On - Roll Off Ferry	3
<b>AS4</b>	Alternatives should be studied in wider context of the sustainable development of Penzance as a whole	4
<b>AS5</b>	Use a twin-hull catamaran - faster and cheaper	3
<b>D1</b>	Development not in keeping with character of surroundings, bad design	393
<b>D2</b>	Development not sturdy enough to cope with storms etc.	7
<b>D3</b>	Development in wrong place, storms could harm vessels and containers	7
<b>D4</b>	Adverse impact on existing residential area and community	4
<b>D5</b>	Proposed materials and detailing inappropriate to area and adjacent buildings	14
<b>D6</b>	Proposals do not comply with planning policy	5
<b>D7</b>	Development unnecessarily creates new land at enormous cost and harms environment in process	8
<b>D8</b>	The provision of alternative Public Conveniences should be condition of planning consent.	1
<b>E1</b>	Object to loss of beach, harms landscape and natural values including views	447
<b>E2</b>	Marine ecology, birds and wildlife at Battery Rocks will be harmed	111
<b>E3</b>	Pollution from HGVs	13
<b>E4</b>	Recreational and residential areas adjacent will be affected by noise, fumes and lower house prices as a result of development	3
<b>E5</b>	Pollution of sea in bay area and adverse impact on fishing, swimming and the bay's beaches	9
<b>E6</b>	Destroys habitat of protected species, rock armour not an acceptable alternative, create an appropriate alternative	13
<b>Ec1</b>	Development harms long term tourism economy of town by damaging the natural environment and undermining town's heritage assets	316
<b>Ec2</b>	Scillonian is fit for many more years and purchase of new boat does not represent best value	4
<b>Ec3</b>	Silver Dolphin Dive Centre will be greatly affected	3
<b>Ec4</b>	Terminal will only be used part of the year and has limited capacity, so the masses will suffer for the benefit of the few	4
<b>Ec5</b>	Not economically viable to use one vessel for passengers and freight as busiest freight season (Dec-Mar) is least busiest passenger time	15
<b>Ec6</b>	Cost to taxpayer is unacceptable	8
<b>Ec7</b>	Economic case not proven - no proven benefit to Penzance	9

<b>Ec8</b>	Proposal does nothing for remaining harbour or harbour's other users	3
<b>Ec9</b>	The terminal building is inadequate in size to accommodate the number of passengers and will need to be extended in the future.	1
<b>H1</b>	Adverse affect on heritage setting and character of harbour and Penzance	398
<b>H2</b>	Destruction of listed property is unacceptable	67
<b>H3</b>	Damages South Pier	103
<b>H4</b>	Ruins beauty, heritage value and tradition of Cornwall's only promenade	24
<b>H5</b>	Building on Holy Headland is unacceptable	12
<b>H6</b>	Development in Conservation Area is unacceptable	4
<b>H7</b>	Damages important archaeological remains	2
<b>O1</b>	Not enough public consultation, consultation process not been open and democratic. Consultees not been listened to.	74
<b>O2</b>	Development is not needed	22
<b>O3</b>	Albert Pier will be precluded from any future development as a result of this scheme	3
<b>O4</b>	Development is for benefit of Scillies only	15
<b>O5</b>	Passengers do not need special facilities, other vacant buildings can be refurbished	16
<b>O6</b>	Unspecified objection (i.e. 'I object to the scheme')	40
<b>O7</b>	Loss of moorings especially on Albert Pier when vessel needs to seek refuge	6
<b>O8</b>	Longer Pier extension should be included for benefit of mooring holders	2
<b>O9</b>	Access to steps on lighthouse pier for anglers will be lost	1
<b>O10</b>	Adverse impact on Jubilee swimming pool	11
<b>O11</b>	Marina solution a better opportunity	2
<b>O12</b>	Why is Council still supporting scheme?	5
<b>O13</b>	Refer proposal to Environment Minister	1
<b>T1</b>	Traffic congestion in harbour area will increase causing adverse effects on health, natural and built environment and public safety	422
<b>T2</b>	No provision for convenient passenger parking	7
<b>T3</b>	No provision for coach passengers at new terminal	4
<b>T4</b>	Scheme doesn't integrate with existing public transport provision	9
<b>T5</b>	Freight loading restrictions would cause more traffic build up	4
<b>T6</b>	Pedestrian routes to proposed terminal are dangerous	4